Looking Past the Complaint to Make a Life-Saving Discovery
A weary mom and her three restless and very fussy children just checked in to your clinic. Not surprisingly, your other patients and staff are growing weary and restless too.
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Antimicrobial stewardship is defined as a coordinated program that promotes the appropriate use of antimicrobials, improves patient outcomes, reduces microbial resistance, and decreases the spread of infections caused by multidrug-resistant organisms. Stewardship initiatives in hospitals are paving the way for the rest of healthcare through education campaigns, monitoring, and restrictions. Outpatient practice is beginning to catch up as the stories of resistance grow and the lines between nosocomial and community-acquired infections blur.

We all have a role to play in stewardship, and with education and careful communication, we can make a difference. Urgent cares can do a better job in this regard. As you have heard me say before, we practice in a unique setting with increased risks and challenges. Our lack of continuity relationships makes it difficult to build trust and ensure patients are progressing as expected. All the while, we have a primary obligation to remain vigilant for serious bacterial illness and concerning complications.

In the end, I believe this issue is all about balance. Balancing risk, trust, and time is uniquely difficult in urgent care. Here are a few thoughts for you to consider as you build your own approach to this tricky subject:

- You can’t win every battle, but you can make a dent in the armor.
  - Sometimes a back-up prescription is a useful tool to build trust so you can begin to chip away at some of the myths of antibiotics and their efficacy. E-prescription programs are even creating special “wait and see” prescriptions that are only filled upon patient request.
  - Referring those with recurrent URIs like “sinusitis” and “bronchitis” to a specialist for a better evaluation and treatment plan can be helpful for breaking the cycle of misuse. Demonstrate genuine concern for the patient and they will show appreciation.
- You’d be surprised what a little sensitivity can do. If you don’t make people feel silly for coming in and if you don’t downplay the severity of their symptoms, they are more likely to follow your guidance and appreciate your care.
  - I often will remind patients that many viral illnesses are far worse than bacterial infections. The degree of illness does not determine need for antibiotics. Show empathy, and never say, “It’s just a virus!”
- When antibiotics are indicated, use the narrowest-spectrum choice for the treatment of the infection. Follow IDSA guidelines if in doubt.
- Use your best judgement and don’t ignore atypical courses of disease.
  - If a patient is getting worse after a period of getting better, strongly consider a bacterial superinfection like pneumonia.
  - Remember that early in an illness like pneumonia, infiltrates might not be seen. If you think a patient clinically has pneumonia, do not hesitate to treat it as such.
  - Those with cardiopulmonary disease; immunosuppression and other immunologic disease; cancer; and other comorbidities should be managed more aggressively. These are not the patients to stand on a stewardship soapbox for.
  - For pharyngitis, only treat with antibiotics when testing is positive for strep (rapid test or culture).
  - Children with a diagnosis of URI, bronchitis or serous otitis media should not receive antibiotics.
   - Most parents understand the need to avoid antibiotics for viral illness.
   - Most parents do not realize that bronchitis is a viral illness, but are happy to learn.

As noted in a separate column by Jasmeet Bhogal, MD and Laurel Stoimenoff, PT, CHC, (page 9), the Urgent Care Association and the College of Urgent Care Medicine are actively engaged in a campaign to improve antibiotic stewardship in the urgent care setting. With a collective effort, we can demonstrate our industry’s commitment to this important issue and reduce unnecessary antibiotic use.

Lee A. Resnick, MD, FAAFP
Editor-in-Chief, JUCM, The Journal of Urgent Care Medicine
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The Approach to the Hypertensive Patient in the Urgent Care Setting

Patients don’t usually go to an urgent care center to manage their hypertension. Patients who are first diagnosed with hypertension in an urgent care center are another matter, however—and often, it’s when they’ve presented with unrelated complaints.

Taylor Fischer MMS, PA-C and Rebecca L. McClough, MPAS PA-C

HIPAA-Compliant Disclosure in Workers’ Compensation

Figuring out what details of a workers’ compensation case can be shared with the patient’s employer—your client—can be tricky. Say too little and you risk losing the business; say too much and you’ll wind up with a HIPAA violation.

Alan A. Ayers, MBA, MAcc

Rhabdomyolysis in a Previously Healthy 33-Year-Old Man

Young, healthy patients with unexplained, noncardiac pain would probably never suspect they could have a life-threatening condition. The index of suspicion has to be much higher for clinicians, however.

John Shufeldt, MD, JD, MBA, FACEP and Zana Alattar, MS3

The tide of sexually transmitted disease in the United States is turning—and not necessarily in a positive way. Take syphilis, for example; prevalence has been on the decline compared with other STDs in recent years, but occurrence is going up all of a sudden. It doesn’t discriminate among potential hosts, either. There’s no “typical” patient. The trick is to use your best history-taking skills to tease out red flags from patients. Once you do recognize patients at risk, diagnostic testing and treatment can be relatively simple. Learn more in the October issue of JUCM.
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Building Ethical Organizations and Teams

Workplace ethics don’t apply just to your clinical staff—and they’re essential to building loyalty among workers and patients alike.
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Taking the Occupational Medicine History

A thorough, occupational medicine-specific history is essential to identifying and resolving work-related injuries quickly and safely—for the benefit of the patient and the employer.
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Many patients probably approach a visit to the urgent care center with a fair amount of certainty, bordering on an affirmed self-diagnosis. How many times have you or a colleague heard, “It’s a sinus infection, I just came in so you can prescribe an antibiotic?”

One of the challenges for the provider, of course, is to listen to what the patient has to say but not be bound by it. Often, there are things going on they may have no idea about—things that could prove to have severe consequences if they’re not addressed.

High on the list of those previously unknown diagnoses: hypertension. There’s a reason it’s called “the silent killer”; for many, it has no obvious symptoms until there’s a crisis. Urgent care clinicians are positioned perfectly to discover high blood pressure in patients who might otherwise never have a chance to take corrective action. And that’s the subject of this week’s cover article.

The Approach to the Hypertensive Patient in the Urgent Care Setting (page 11), by Taylor Fischer, MMS, PA-C and Rebecca L. McClough, MPAS, PA-C looks at the cases of four patients who visited an urgent care center for reasons completely unrelated to concerns about their blood pressure. Close attention to the vital signs prompted the examining physicians to look further than the presenting complaint to make a crucial diagnosis.

Mr. Fischer is an assistant professor at Wingate University, Hendersonville Campus and a medical provider at Mission Health System. Ms. McClough is director of Clinical Education and an assistant professor in the Harris Department of PA Studies at Wingate.

Then there are patients who think because they take excellent care of themselves, eating right and exercising, that whatever ails them couldn’t be anything serious. The consequences of this could be just as dire as undiagnosed hypertension. Rhabdomyolysis in a Previously Healthy 33-Year-Old Man, by John Shufeldt, MD, JD, MBA, FACEP and Zana Alattar, MS3 tells the story of one such patient. It starts on page 33.

Dr. Shufeldt is the interim chief medical officer at San Carlos Apache Healthcare Center and chief executive officer of US Careways. Ms. Alattar is an MD candidate in the University of Arizona College of Medicine – Phoenix, Class of 2020.

In all patient presentations, in all settings, clinicians are expected to uphold the highest ethical standards. When it comes to nonclinical staff, however, the rules are less official. It’s up to each operation to make sure employees know what’s expected of them. As Alan Ayers, MBA, MAcc, CEO of Velocity Urgent Care and the practice management editor of JUCM, explains in Building Ethical Organizations and Teams, an ethical workplace culture is a required element of any successful practice.

The article starts on page 19.

Different standards come into play when working with occupational medicine patients. The Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA) has strict rules as to what patient information can be shared with whom. The details of how to do balance the client’s needs with the patient’s can be tricky. Fortunately, Mr. Ayers’ second article in this issue has some answers on how to pull it off. HIPAA-Compliant Disclosure in Workers’ Compensation begins on page 24.

Treating occupational medicine patients, in general, has distinct similarities and differences compared with “typical” urgent care patients. That starts with the history, as explained by Max Lebow, MD, MPH, FACEP, FACP in Taking the Occupational Medicine History on page 30. A regular JUCM contributor on the topic of occupational medicine, Dr. Lebow is president and medical director of Reliant Immediate Care Medical Group.

Also in this issue:

Glenn Harnett, MD reviews new articles on upcoming changes to fluoroquinolone labels, AAP’s thoughts on the upcoming flu season, and more. Abstracts in Urgent Care starts on page 27. Dr. Harnett is principal, No Resistance Consulting Group.

Finally, in Revenue Cycle Management Q&A (page 43). David Stern, MD, CPC provides highlights of changes by the International Classification of Disease, 10th Revision. This information is essential information for every urgent care coder. Dr. Stern is the CEO of Practice Velocity; Urgent Care Consultants; and PV Billing.

Thanks to Our Peer Reviewers

Our aim at JUCM is to provide content that is relevant, practical, and of high interest to urgent care clinicians and operators. We are aided tremendously in those efforts by industry leaders who volunteer their time to read and comment on key articles in every issue. This month, we want to thank the following:

Barbara Chambers
Kent Erickson, MD
David Pick, MD
John Reilly, DO
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### The Approach to the Hypertensive Patient in the Urgent Care Setting (p. 11)

1. **Hypertension is a significant risk factor for:**
   - a. Myocardial infarction
   - b. Chronic heart failure
   - c. Kidney disease
   - d. Stroke
   - e. All of the above

2. **All of the following medications may increase blood pressure, except:**
   - a. Naproxen
   - b. Methylphenidate
   - c. Amoxicillin
   - d. Pseudoephedrine
   - e. Ortho Evra

3. **Hypertension is the most common cardiovascular risk factor in the United States and accounts for an estimated 41% of cardiovascular disease (CVD) related deaths**
   - a. True
   - b. False

### Building Ethical Organizations and Teams (p. 19)

1. **Which of the following would be considered common ethical dilemmas likely to be faced in the urgent care environment?**
   - a. Covering for a colleague who is impaired due to drug or alcohol use, or illness
   - b. Misuse of the prescription pad
   - c. Failing to exercise proper hygiene and sanitization standards and protocols
   - d. None of the above
   - e. All of the above

2. **More than 40% of employees in the U.S. have witnessed illegal or unethical activity at work.**
   - a. True
   - b. False

3. **The foundation for building an ethical workplace culture starts:**
   - a. At the top
   - b. At the front desk, since that’s the patient’s first point of contact
   - c. With the clinical staff
   - d. With billing and coding

### Rhabdomyolysis in a Previously Healthy 33-Year-Old Man (p. 33)

1. **What is the classic triad of rhabdomyolysis?**
   - a. Fever, weakness, cough
   - b. Muscle pain, weakness, and tea-colored urine
   - c. Muscle pain, fever, confusion
   - d. Hypotension, dysuria, flank pain

2. **All of the following are helpful lab tests to evaluate for rhabdomyolysis except:**
   - a. Serum myoglobin levels
   - b. Creatinine
   - c. Electrolytes
   - d. Urine screen for myoglobinuria
   - e. Rapid strep test

3. **A normal physical exam excludes the diagnosis of rhabdomyolysis**
   - a. True
   - b. False
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As you are most likely aware, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention published a retrospective analysis of antibiotic prescribing, comparing urgent care centers, retail clinics, emergency departments, and other practice settings. Using 4-year-old data, the CDC assigned the site where a prescription originated using only place-of-service codes, and determined the appropriateness of a pharmacy-dispensed antibiotic based on the ICD-9 code. The study indicated that urgent care centers were prescribing inappropriately at a higher percentage than the other three sectors.

The publication was released the same day representatives of the College of Urgent Care Medicine, the Urgent Care Association, urgent care clinical and operational stakeholders, EHR leadership, and payer representatives were attending a summit cosponsored by UCA, the CDC, and the Antibiotic Resistance Action Center (ARAC).

The story was picked up by a number of media outlets, with “urgent care” prominent in the headlines. But let’s look at the numbers more closely in order to maintain some perspective. Even if the prescribing percentage is a true reflection of the actual rate, based on a limited set of diagnoses urgent care centers would have been responsible for 201,000 unnecessary prescriptions compared to 1.6 million in the remaining groups.

While concerns were expressed about the methodology, all industry representatives agreed that urgent care medicine has an opportunity to be part of the solution and take a leadership role in appropriate antibiotic prescribing.

A Serious Threat
Since their initial use in the 1940s, antibiotics have alleviated tremendous suffering and have saved millions of lives. Many organizations, including the CDC and the World Health Organization, agree that antibiotic resistance has become an increasing problem over the ensuing decades. On the Antibiotic/ Antimicrobial Resistance page of its website, the CDC estimates that at least 2 million people become infected with bacteria that are resistant to antibiotics each year in the United States, and that at least 23,000 people die each year as a direct result of these infections.

Our Finest Hour
As we head to Houston for the UCA Fall Conference, it is appropriate that we remember the words of Apollo 13 Flight Director Gene Kranz. Overhearing others lamenting the likely fate of the mission, he rallies his team by saying “With all due respect sir, I believe this is going to be our finest hour.”

We have a responsibility to make this our finest hour. We need to each take responsibility in our area of influence to effect change. CUCM has created an Antibiotic Stewardship Toolkit, available on UCA’s website. The CDC also has a plethora of resources and training programs, and has committed to ongoing public education. UCA, the Urgent Care Foundation, and CUCM will apply additional energies toward educational and stewardship resources. We must continue to pursue the overarching goal of providing the highest quality care to our patients, while helping providers and patients in positively improving antibiotic prescribing and utilization practices.

The Foundation will cohost a stewardship summit in Houston immediately following our Fall Conference. During that summit, we will work toward clearly articulated, solution-focused deliverables.

Many organizations have made a stewardship commitment. They have demonstrated success and are willing to share how they brought about change. Collectively, we can and will make a difference. We will report back to the media and it will be our finest hour. In the interim, continue to make a difference providing exceptional healthcare to your communities. UCA will persevere to ensure that message remains at the forefront. See you in Houston!
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The Approach to the Hypertensive Patient in the Urgent Care Setting

Urgent message: Previously undiagnosed hypertension is common among ambulatory patients, and urgent care providers are often the first to recognize and diagnose this condition. Initiating treatment, testing, and providing patient education and follow-up are well within the scope of urgent care practice and help ensure positive outcomes. Being attentive to the presentation and care of hypertensive emergencies is also paramount.

TAYLOR FISCHER MMS, PA-C AND REBECCA L. MCCLOUGH, MPAS PA-C

Hypertension (HTN) affects approximately 29% of American adults, according to the latest report released from the Centers for Disease Control. While typically this disease process is diagnosed and managed by the patient’s primary care provider, there may be times that a patient presents to the urgent care center with either high blood pressure (BP) as a secondary finding or with symptoms that are sequelae of high BP. The task for an urgent care provider includes defining and managing HTN, determining the need for testing, and recommending appropriate follow-up.

In this article, we first examine the process for identifying patients with “simple” elevated BP—those who might likely be categorized as prehypertensive or hypertensive—and then patients who may be in hypertensive crisis or hypertensive emergency.

Patients with Elevated Blood Pressure
The following patients represent two common presentations to the urgent care center:

- A 40-year-old female complains of right ankle pain after twisting it. She appears to be in a substantial amount of pain and has to be helped from her vehicle into the clinic. She denies any known medical problems, takes no medications, and does not smoke. She took two 200 mg of ibuprofen without relief. On exam, her right ankle is swollen over the lateral malleolus with ecchymosis. Her blood pressure upon
two readings in the office is 148/90 and 152/96.

A 52-year-old male presents for a pre-employment physical examination. He does not have a primary care provider. He smokes one pack of cigarettes per day. He has no current complaints, and specifically denies chest pain, shortness of breath, and headaches. His blood pressure readings in the office are 158/92 and 160/92.

Both cases represent significant challenges.

**Definitions**

HTN can either be classified as primary (essential) or secondary.

- **Primary HTN** accounts for an estimated 95% of all HTN cases. It does not have a well-defined cause, but rather has been linked to predisposing factors including, but not limited to, family history, diet, lack of exercise, and obesity.

- **Secondary HTN** refers to elevated BP caused by another medical condition. Commonly associated causes of secondary HTN are conditions that affect a patient’s kidneys or cardiovascular or endocrine systems.3

The newest Joint National Committee (JNC 8) Hypertension Guideline place more emphasis on age, race, and comorbidities to determine the appropriate systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) than do previous recommendations. These guidelines are utilized specifically for guiding hypertensive treatment. Most organizations utilize the following parameters for staging HTN:

- Normal blood pressure: \( \leq 120/80 \)
- Stage 1 HTN: SBP/DBP of \( \geq 140/90 \) mmHg
- Stage 2 HTN: SBP/DBP of \( \geq 160/100 \) mmHg²

There is a linear relationship between SBP as low as 115 mmHg and cardiovascular risks. Based on these data, it is recommended that BP readings of 120-139/80-89 mmHg be treated as prehypertensive because half of these patients will go on to develop HTN in the next 4 years.³

A person is hypertensive at a systolic blood pressure of \( \geq 140/90 \).² At this level, there is increased risk of kidney disease, intracranial hemorrhage, myocardial infarction, heart failure, and a host of other life-threatening problems. Furthermore, there is a linear relationship between increasing blood pressure and mortality from cardiovascular disease and between increasing BP and mortality from ischemic stroke.

Hypertensive crises are designated as BP readings of \( \geq 180/120 \) mmHg, with a hypertensive emergency defined as elevated blood pressure with signs of end organ damage. These will be discussed in greater detail later.

**Consequences and Related Disease Processes**

Hypertension is the most common cardiovascular risk factor in the United States, accounting for an estimated 41% of cardiovascular disease (CVD)-related deaths.⁹,¹⁰ It is also considered a significant risk factor for myocardial infarction (MI), chronic heart failure (HF), and stroke. Seven out of 10 people having their first heart attack also have HTN, as do eight of 10 people who suffer from a stroke.¹⁰,¹¹

In 2013, more than 360,000 deaths in the American adult population included HTN as a primary or contributing cause of death.¹¹ By lowering blood pressure 10 mmHg, lifetime risk for CVD- and stroke-related death decreases by 25% – 45%.² Aside from CVD, MI, chronic HF, and stroke, there is also the risk of developing chronic kidney disease (CKD) and dementia later in life.⁹

HTN presents a unique challenge in that it affects many patients who are likely to visit an urgent care center. Half of those with HTN are uncontrolled or may not even be aware of the diagnosis.¹² The urgent care provider may be the first clinician to identify HTN.

**Presentation**

The evaluation of patients presenting with high blood pressure in the urgent care center is a multifocal process that begins with a thorough history and physical examination. The vast majority of patients will most likely be asymptomatic, without symptoms of target organ damage.

BP measurements are often taken incorrectly with a cuff too large or too small, leading to abnormally low or high blood pressure readings, respectively. Patients may be lying down, standing, or the measurement might be performed over top of clothing. Repeating the measurement should focus on proper technique. This means:

- A seated patient with the arm at the level of the heart
- An appropriately sized cuff
  - Length = 80% of arm circumference
  - Width = 40% of arm circumference
- A patient who has been relaxing for at least 10 minutes
Avoidance of automatic blood pressure machines if repeat reading¹³

Once elevated blood pressure is established, the chronicity of the disease, as well any possible comorbidities and disease sequelae, should be determined. The patient should be asked about headaches, chest pain, shortness of breath, swelling in the legs, abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, or any focal neurosensory changes, as these all reflect target organ damage.

If symptoms related to target organ damage are absent, the BP may be elevated due to pain. Pain leads to activation of the sympathetic nervous system; as detailed with our first case scenario above, a patient who presents for a sprained ankle may have an elevated BP in the urgent care center, despite not having hypertension.¹

Though an isolated increased blood pressure is not diagnostic of hypertension, a significant number of these patients will have acute illnesses that lead to dehydration or tachycardia; even loss of sleep can increase BP. So, the patient diagnosed with influenza may also have high blood pressure unrelated to underlying vascular disease.

Past Medical History
Many patients will have either a documented history of hypertension, or will have had sporadic high blood pressure readings in the primary care office. Exploration of concomitant risk factors including diabetes mellitus (DM) and CKD will help with long-term risk stratification according to the JNC 8 guidelines.² Commonly used medications such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications (NSAIDs) and stimulants are known to elevate blood pressure (Table 1).

Physical Examination
Concerning cardiac findings may include murmurs, extra heart sounds, arterial bruits, or abnormal heart rate and rhythm.

The pulmonary examination may reveal crackles representing right-sided failure and pulmonary edema. An abdominal exam should explore for tenderness, ascites, and aortic bruits, which could be indicative of an abdominal arterial aneurysm or renal artery stenosis. Examine the extremities for edema and asymmetry.

A neurological exam may reveal subtle abnormalities from early hypertensive encephalopathy. Funduscopic exam may reveal flame hemorrhages, cotton wool spots, and papilledema.

Routinely ordering lab work, electrocardiograms, or chest x-rays for the hypertensive patient without target organ damage is not recommended.¹

Management
Although the primary application of the Framingham Heart Study is to estimate long-term risk of cardiovascular disease, in the urgent care setting it can be a helpful tool for making decisions about discharging patients with medication and an easy way to deem individuals as high-risk or low-risk. This decision should be made carefully and with specific considerations in mind:

- Ultimately, hypertension is a problem best managed by primary care with access to regular follow-up.
- Patients who do not have access to primary care should be evaluated in a reasonable period, 1 to 2 weeks, to recheck blood pressure, confirm adherence, and monitor for side effects. In patients on diuretics, heart rate and potassium levels may be checked at this time, as well.
- Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEI) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARB) are known teratogens; although popular choices for first-line HTN management, they should be avoided in this group.¹⁶
- One of the few labs that should be considered is a basic metabolic panel evaluating the patient’s renal function and potassium level. Although there is no

---

Table 1. Medications Which May Increase Blood Pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NSAIDs</th>
<th>Stimulants</th>
<th>Oral Birth Control</th>
<th>Decongestants</th>
<th>Antidepressants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Naproxen</td>
<td>Methylphenidate</td>
<td>Ortho Evra</td>
<td>Pseudoephedrine</td>
<td>Sertraline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibuprofen</td>
<td>Dextroamphetamine</td>
<td>Ortho-Tri</td>
<td>Phenylephrine</td>
<td>Citalopram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meloxicam</td>
<td>Lisdexamfetamine</td>
<td>Cyclen</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fluoxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Celecoxib</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yaz</td>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indomethacin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yasmin</td>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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There is a strong likelihood that this patient has... 

Follow-Up: What Happened with Our Two Patients?

- **40-year-old female with severe right ankle pain.** She has no medical problems, takes no medications, and does not smoke. She took 400 mg of ibuprofen last night. Her right ankle is swollen over the lateral malleolus, tender, and ecchymotic. Her blood pressure readings in the office after multiple attempts are 148/90 and 152/96.
  - More than likely, this patient’s elevated blood pressure is a direct result of her ankle injury. Prescription of medication should be deferred, but the patient should be informed of her blood pressure reading and the importance of outpatient follow-up with her primary care provider. Once her pain is better controlled, she should also check her blood pressure at home or a pharmacy and keep a log of her readings.

- **52-year-old male needing a pre-employment physical exam.** He does not have a primary care provider. He has 27 pack-years of smoking. He does not exercise and he generally eats a poor diet. He denies chest pain, shortness of breath, and headaches. His blood pressures in the office after multiple attempts are 158/92 and 160/92.
  - There is a strong likelihood that this patient has longstanding hypertension. Using the patient’s current vital signs, history of smoking, and age, the patient is also likely at very high risk of cardiovascular disease based on the Framingham study. With no primary care provider, it would be reasonable to obtain initial labs including a basic metabolic panel, and to treat this patient with a blood pressure-lowering medication such as hydrochlorothiazide or lisinopril. He should follow up with primary care and check his blood pressure.

Hypertension is seen primarily as a disease best dealt with in the primary care setting. The unfortunate reality, however, is that a great many patients either have hypertension or have elevated blood pressure without adequate diagnosis or management. These facts necessitate that providers not only be familiar with HTN, but be comfortable addressing it with the patient and possibly treating it with appropriate medications. Next, we will address the appropriate evaluation and management of asymptomatic hypertensive crisis from the urgent care prospective.

**Patients Who May Be in Hypertensive Crisis or Hypertensive Emergency**

Where previously we discussed the routine diagnosis and management of HTN from the urgent care perspective, we now turn our attention to patients suspected of hypertensive crisis or emergency, problems that add complexity to the already difficult task of managing elevated blood pressure.

As a reminder, HTN affects an estimated 75 million people in the United States.¹ It is, therefore, something that almost every urgent care provider will encounter. Making the appropriate decisions regarding blood pressure elevation, especially in the face of a potentially life-threatening diagnosis, is a critical task.

The following cases represent patients who present to urgent care in potential hypertensive crisis:

- **A 62-year-old, obese (body mass index [BMI] of 35) man presents complaining of elevated BP after self-administering a reading using an automated device in a grocery store an hour ago. When the machine showed a reading of 180/110, he became worried and decided to get “checked out.” He appears well in the clinic, and denies chest pain, shortness of breath, blurry vision, syncope, or any other symptoms for that matter. His physical exam is within normal limits to include normal cardiac and pulmonary exams. He mentions a 30-pack-year history of smoking. His current blood pressure is 190/125, higher than the grocery store reading.**

- **A 72-year-old female patient presents complaining of elevated blood pressure. She has a history of HTN and takes lisinopril 20 mg daily. She checks her blood pressure occasionally if she doesn’t feel well, and says she checked it today because she has been very tired. After her BP machine showed a reading of 200/110, she decided to come in to the clinic to be evaluated. She denies chest pains, blurry vision, or syncope, but does note increasing shortness of breath (SOB) over the past few days, progressing from only when she walks her dog to SOB at rest. Her BP in the clinic is 196/120, and although her...
pulmonary examination is normal, she has distant heart sounds, and is tachycardic with a rate of 130 beats per minute.

Background

Hypertensive crisis can be a nebulous term. It is used to describe elevated blood pressure, usually ≥180/120 without associated target organ damage, acute kidney injury, myocardial infarction, etc. Conversely, hypertensive emergency involves elevated BP with one or more of the aforementioned problems. (Older terminology such as malignant hypertension and hypertensive urgency are falling out of favor.)

With this in mind, and recalling that 180/120 mmHg is considered crisis level, one can understand why the BP of the patient in the first scenario is so concerning. Consider his risk factors, as well. As a smoker, he likely has underlying atherosclerosis and coronary artery disease. If this is put into the Framingham Heart Study CVD prediction calculator, his 10-year cardiovascular risk is 46.4%. Most urgent care providers can relate to the feeling of uneasiness that accompanies these types of patients. No one wants to be the last link in a broken chain, but what is the appropriate response to such significant risk of mortality? The answer is to begin with the basics: an appropriate history and physical examination, understanding that from the urgent care perspective, the goal is to identify individuals at risk of hypertensive crisis, not to treat the crisis itself.

History and Physical Exam

With patients at risk for hypertensive crisis or hypertensive emergency, the examination should always begin with obtaining vital signs. Given that this has already been done, the next step is to repeat the blood pressure, obtaining readings in both arms using appropriate technique as discussed previously. Confirm that the BP is still elevated and that it corroborates the value described by the patient. Confirm, also, that there is not a discrepancy >10 mmHg between BPs in the upper extremities, as this can be indicative of an aortic dissection. Confirm that the patient has no chest pain, shortness of breath, vision changes, lower back pain, nausea, vomiting, weakness of the face or extremities, alterations in mental status, headache, or numbness and tingling anywhere. These are all signs of potential organ damage and should increase the provider’s suspicion of true hypertensive emergency. They are listed in the Table 2, along with their potential source.

An important caveat to taking the patient history is the presence of headache, which is often mentioned by patients with elevated BP. Although there has been no causal relationship demonstrated between elevated BP and mild headache, subarachnoid hemorrhage, which can present as a headache, should be ruled out with further questioning. Specifically, if the hypertensive patient complains of a headache, the clinician should confirm that it was not sudden in onset, maximal at onset, and is not worse than previous headaches. If any of these questions are answered in the affirmative, a subarachnoid hemorrhage cannot be ruled out and should be moved to the top of the list of differential diagnoses.

The physical exam should be directed by the history. That being said, if the history is void of concerning symp-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Signs of Potential Organ Damage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortness of breath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision loss or disturbances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower back pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea/vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weakness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numbness/tingling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizures/change in mental status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pregnancy is not a symptom, but it is worth noting here because of how it affects the differential diagnosis.
toms, the physical exam should still include, at minimum, the evaluation of the heart, lungs, cranial nerves, abdomen, and eyes to include a funduscopic exam. The remainder of the vital signs should have been obtained previously and need to be scrutinized, as well. Tachycardia, fever, or low oxygen saturation, for example, can all indicate infection or even pulmonary embolism, two potential causes of hypertensive emergency.

Signs and potential sources are noted in Table 3.

If both the history and physical exam are unremarkable, the practitioner should consider letting the patient rest in a quiet, dark room for about 30 minutes. If both the history and physical exam are unremarkable, the practitioner should consider letting the patient rest in a quiet, dark room for about 30 minutes. The clinician should resist the urge to order labs or other ancillary testing on asymptomatic patients with normal physical exams. The most recent guidance from the American College of Emergency Physicians reveals that there is very little useful information gained by performing ancillary studies in patients with no signs or symptoms of target organ damage. This means that there is no need to order routine labs, a chest radiograph, or even an ECG. This is counterintuitive, given the level of potential risk associated with these patients.

A few caveats to this recommendation may include patients with known history of aortic or cerebral aneurysm, previous MI, or known decreased renal function. These individuals are at greater risk for asymptomatic hypertension transitioning into symptomatic hypertension; thusly, a reasonable approach may be to include basic labs plus the appropriate imaging study or ECG based on the level of concern. In most cases, this would include a urinalysis, a complete metabolic panel (CMP), and an ECG.

### Diagnosis and Treatment

Any patient who has a concerning historical or physical finding should be referred to the ED for the appropriate diagnostic work-up. For example, review the case of the 72-year-old female with shortness of breath. Regardless of the remainder of her exam, her primary complaint, when combined with a BP >180/120, represents an increased probability of true hypertensive emergency—elevated BP with end organ damage. In her case, she may be experiencing an MI or a pulmonary embolism. Neither of these potential diagnoses can be ruled out from the urgent care center.

While the severity of a particular case may necessitate resuscitative efforts such as IV fluid or oxygen in clinic, the ultimate goal in the ED remains the same: a thorough evaluation that may include advanced imaging, laboratory studies, and an ECG. The aim of diagnosis and treatment in the urgent care center, therefore, is to tease out those individuals who need a higher level of care from those individuals who may be released home with or without medication. Patients who have no positive historical or physical findings associated with target organ damage have likely had an elevated BP for quite some time. ED evaluation in these patients is not necessary.

It is imperative to note here that the practice of giving medications to rapidly lower the blood pressure in clinic is neither safe nor indicated. There is no improvement in morbidity or mortality when asymptomatic individuals are treated with antihypertensive medications. Furthermore, medications like clonidine, a longtime staple of urgent care medicine, work against the body’s autoregulatory mechanisms, used to balance the increased pressure with adequate perfusion of the vital organs. As such, acute lowering of the BP could lead to an ischemic stroke or a MI.

To summarize:

- Most patients who are asymptomatic and do not have signs of target organ damage do not need to have their blood pressure rapidly lowered. In fact,
Patients are viewed through the appropriate lens and making the distinction need not be difficult if the body.

Most patients who are asymptomatic and do not have signs of target organ damage also do not need ancillary testing such as CBC, CMP, ECG, or chest radiography.

A thorough history and physical exam that encompasses symptoms of target organ damage is a sufficient evaluation.

Patients at high risk for an event—those who have had an MI previously or have an aortic aneurysm, cerebral aneurysm, or active renal disease—should be evaluated more thoroughly with the appropriate ancillary studies.

If the decision is made to start a blood pressure medication, JNC8 should be consulted to determine which medication is most appropriate. The patient’s renal function and potassium need to be evaluated prior to starting any medication.

Follow-Up: What Happened with Our Two Patients Evaluated for Hypertensive Crisis?

62-year-old male with blood pressure of 190/125 and no signs of target organ damage. This patient was discharged because he was determined not to be in hypertensive crisis. His condition did not warrant emergent attention or further work-up, and he was advised to follow up with a primary care provider.

72-year-old female with blood pressure of 196/120, shortness of breath, and tachycardia. This woman was transported emergently to the ED for further evaluation and care.

With hypertension being one of the most common diagnoses in medicine, urgent care providers must be able to identify situations that require immediate, higher-acute care as well as patients who can be discharged safely. The patients described here illustrate that making the distinction need not be difficult if the patients are viewed through the appropriate lens and approached logically and with confidence.

“The aim of diagnosis and treatment in the urgent care center is to tease out individuals who need a higher level of care from those who may be released with or without medication.”
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Building Ethical Organizations and Teams

Urgent message: As consumers pay closer attention to the ethical behaviors of the companies they do business with, the topic of workplace ethics has garnered renewed interest. Hence, the urgent care operator who makes a concerted effort to build an ethical workplace culture lays the groundwork for patient loyalty, motivated and productive employees, and financial success.

ALAN A. AYERS, MBA, MACC

Thanks to what seems like a steady stream of headline-grabbing corporate scandals, misconduct accusations, and fraud investigations, the business ethics of organizations big and small remain under intense scrutiny. With each new tale of misdeed or impropriety, the media pounces, consumer confidence in once-trusted brands erodes, and embattled CEOs caught in the spotlight’s glare commence frantic damage control.

For sure, companies’ ethical cultures, including urgent care organizations, permeate every level of the business, and can be a critical factor in whether those businesses flourish or flounder. Author and global leadership expert Jeremy Tozer, in his 2012 book Leading Through Leaders: Driving Strategy, Execution and Change, sums up the essence of ethics in business this way:1 “A business perceived to lack integrity or to operate in an unethical, immoral, or irresponsible manner soon loses the support of customers, suppliers and the community at large.”

More than ever, consumers—simultaneously numb and fed up with what they deem betrayals of their trust—are voting with their wallets and forgoing businesses that fail to maintain the high ethical standards they expect. Not to mention a growing workforce of employees and top talent who increasingly place a premium on their companies’ ethical cultures, to the point where they’re prioritizing ethics ahead of benefits, perks, and even salary.

Why an Ethical Culture is Important
As it turns out, good ethics means more than simply doing the right thing for honesty’s sake; it makes good business sense. This is evidenced further by the fact that most of Fortune magazine’s “The 100 Best Companies to Work For” also find themselves on the “Most Popular Consumer Brands” list. In fact, it perfectly underscores the notion that companies that treat their employees well and maintain a strong workplace culture will naturally realize that trickle-down effect through their employees treating customers with same high regard.

So, when considering an urgent care environment, what are some common ethical dilemmas care teams, physicians, and managers might be forced to confront?

---
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Here are a few examples, gathered through anonymous surveys of healthcare workers courtesy of Medscape:

- Prescribing antibiotics to patients who don’t need them, yet are arguing for them
- Choosing to not reveal a minor mistake in treatment or diagnosis of a patient simply because it will not cause harm
- Covering for a colleague who is impaired due to drug or alcohol use, or illness
- Discussing a patient’s information with a colleague in a way that violates the patient’s privacy
- Accepting inappropriate gifts and perks from suppliers and/or vendors
- Witnessing a coworker behave rudely towards a patient and wrestling with whether to report the incident to supervisors
- Witnessing a team member stealing medical and/or office supplies
- Overstating a patient’s condition in order to submit a false claim or prior authorization
- Showing favoritism towards certain team members or colleagues (eg, vacation, scheduling, breaks, duties, shift preferences, leniency)
- Hiring or promoting individuals with lesser qualifications than more qualified candidates based on race, gender, age, nepotism, etc.
- Failing to consistently exercise proper hygiene and sanitization standards and protocols
- Misuse of the prescription pad

Not an exhaustive list certainly, but it does a good job of spotlighting where typical ethical lapses can occur in urgent care. And such lapses probably occur more often than you’d think: According to the Ethic’s Resource Center’s 2013 National Business Ethics Survey, more than 40% of employees in the U.S. witnessed illegal or unethical activity at work.2 Worse, troubling encounters such as these are not without secondary consequences: When employees witness colleagues violating ethical rules and norms without reprimand or punishment, they may decide that ethics aren’t important and join in themselves or become disillusioned and depart the company altogether. Not the hallmarks of a strong workplace culture, nor a formula for success.

Combined with the additional pressure that the rise of social media has placed upon companies to be ethical and transparent—or risk online exposure to an audience of millions—it’s clearer than ever that an all-out emphasis on ensuring an ethical workplace culture is critical. Additionally, studies show that unethical cultures invariably lead to lowered morale, loss of customer, community, and vendor support, and are a breeding ground for lawsuits. In short, workplaces that tolerate or turn a blind eye to misconduct will suffer higher turnover, diminished employee engagement, and a lowered reputation, whereas organizations that build and nurture ethical workplace cultures see increased profits, a workforce that is engaged and productive, and happier customers.

**What an Ethical Culture Looks Like**

How do you define an ethical culture? There are few hard and fast rules as to what constitutes an “ethical” culture, given that each workplace environment has its own unique objectives and dynamics. However, most ethical cultures share an overarching theme: A workplace where the entire staff, starting with ownership down to the managers and team members, believe in, support, and practice legal and moral business practices. Further, ethical workplace cultures encourage, spotlight, and reward ethical behavior, while discouraging and punishing ethical lapses.

Observe enough workplace cultures, though, and you’ll discover a wide variety of ethical standards that aren’t necessarily laid out clearly in manuals or contracts. Rather, the attitudes and behaviors that comprise a company’s culture are mostly inferred – even unspoken in some cases – depending on the nature of the business or the organization in general. Regardless, companies that boast stellar ethical cultures rarely develop them by accident; ethical cultures flourish only when there is a clear commitment to building and maintaining them, by leaders who understand how important ethics are to the livelihood of the organization. With that in mind, the following sections will examine such factors as behavioral “nudges” to influence ethical workplace behavior, how an ethical culture can help guide decision making in the absence of specific training, and the consensus best practices for building an ethical workplace culture.

**How ‘Nudges’ Support and Reinforce Ethical Behavior**

Behavioral nudges can be loosely defined as suggestions, reminders, and gentle proddings toward doing what’s healthy, moral, responsible, and ethical. The concept of workplace nudges originated in the government public policy sector, where it was developed by American behavioral economist Richard Thaler, who in 2008 authored a seminal work on nudges. This led to Thaler being awarded the 2017 Nobel Prize for economics
based largely on his work in nudge theory dynamics. The concept behind nudges is a straightforward one: People are not as rational or reasonable as they like to believe; thus, strategic nudges can help direct them toward desired behaviors. Thaler, when asked to summarize his theory, was able to do so in just three words: “Make it easy.” Indeed, nudge theory presumes that people resist change when it requires expenditure of mental energy, so by “making it easy” to perform the desired change, compliance rates, ethical decisions, and healthy, responsible choices skyrocket.

Nudges are also based on what Thaler calls “choice architecture.” Meaning, the way a nudge is presented and communicated plays a huge role in how effective it is. With nudges, the theory goes, the practice is most successful when the nudge strikes a perfect balance between articulating benefits, and making people feel like performing the desired behavior was really their choice. Explicit demands or veiled threats, on the other hand, have shown to be much less effective than strategic prodding, and are if fact likely to backfire. Basically, the employee must feel like they made the right decision on their own, even if the nudge helped steer their choice.

One example of a successful nudge Thaler documented that has practical implications for urgent care was a nudge within a food processing plant. In the case study, a researcher and his team were able to increase compliance rates for hand washing by 63% with a deceptively simple nudge: an ink handstamp reminding team members to...wash their hands. Make it easy. When considering the many times throughout an urgent care shift that routine activities such as hand washing, applying latex gloves, and sanitizing surfaces and instruments should occur but might not, a similar nudge could help boost compliance rates towards maintaining a clean and sanitized workplace environment, which is indeed an ethical consideration in a medical practice.\textsuperscript{5,6}

**How an Ethical Culture Helps ‘Fill in the Gaps’**

Another benefit of building and maintaining an ethical culture is that its foundational principles can serve as guideposts for handling situations that employees and team members haven’t been specifically trained for. Given that a typical urgent care is a fast-paced clinical environment with walk-in patient flow and varied patient presentations, unpredictable situations can unfold in a moment’s notice. Thus, when a strong ethical culture is ingrained in the center’s staff, team members are empowered to do the right thing for patients and staff members.

If an urgent care center holds values of “compassionate care,” “treating patients like honored guests,” and “going above and beyond,” for instance, then those ethical principles will “fill in the gaps” between what team members were specifically trained for and the unusual situations that may arise. In one real-life anecdotal example, a passerby wandered into an urgent care confused about his explanation of insurance benefits he received from a different medical provider. Rather than dismiss the nonpatient and direct him back to his PCP, the urgent care office manager, falling back on the center’s values, instead invited the passerby back to her office where she called the insurance company herself and got the man the clarification he needed.

Was the office manager, obviously a busy professional, obligated to do that? Of course not. But “going above and beyond” is one of the center’s values, so it not only served as a guideline for what to do, but it freed her to be the compassionate, caring individual she is at her core. The passerby was naturally elated and promised to reward the center with his patronage when the need arose, as well as sharing the story of his wonderful encounter with family and friends.

It’s stories like this one, repeated often, that work in tandem with managerial edicts to really help form the kind of ethical culture that every urgent care should strive for.\textsuperscript{7}

**Best Practices for Building an Ethical Workplace Culture**

When it comes to building an ethical workplace culture, at least one fact is in near consensus among experts: it starts at the top. This assertion is widely supported by research studies, including one conducted by highly regarded Stanford psychologist Al Bandura, which showed that people are predisposed to follow the behavior of others they admire or view as leaders. So for urgent care operators, the task is remaining ever cognizant that their behavior sets the tone, their words and actions are being closely observed, and that they must lead by example at all times.

To that end, here are several foundational principles espoused by Tozer, Thaler, and other HR experts that any urgent care organization can embrace towards building, maintaining, and nurturing an ethical culture:\textsuperscript{8,9}

- **Communicate ethical expectations.** An employee handbook that clearly outlines the center’s ethical values should be read and signed by each team member. In addition, the walls and bulletin boards should be adorned with placards, posters, and framed pictures declaring the center’s guiding principles as...
both a nudge to desired behaviors and an ever-present reminder to patients and employees.

- **Model the ethical behavior you expect.** When ethical dilemmas inevitably arise, forgo expediency and convenience, and let others witness you take ethical action—even if it’s costly or inconvenient. For example, always demonstrate impartiality, flexibility, and compassion to your team members and patients. This lets everyone know that the center’s values are not simply lip service, but guiding principles that you believe in, and expect everyone to follow.

- **Talk about ethics often.** Repeat stories and anecdotes often that involved ethical decision making. Provide corrective feedback when an inadvertent ethical lapse occurs. Bring up ethical dilemmas in meetings and huddles and solicit feedback and input from your team. Above all, keep the topic of ethics top-of-mind so team members remain vigilant against ethical lapses.

- **Provide ethics training.** Go beyond manuals and contracts, and augment your ethics training with workshops, seminars, and online programs. The training should help develop effective ethical decision making and teach the proper way to navigate ethical dilemmas. Moreover, research shows that when ethics training is dynamic and interactive as opposed to rote and dull, the ethical principles and values are assimilated better, further ingrained, and acted upon more frequently.

- **Make reporting ethical violations safe.** Ensure that mechanisms are in place that allow team members to report ethics violations safely and in confidence without fear of retribution. Leave no doubt to employees that their ethical concerns are important and will be taken seriously no matter their role or seniority.

- **Manage performance expectations.** If the KPIs and metrics you task your team members with exceeding are unreasonable, they may be tempted to cut corners and take shortcuts, undermining the center’s ethical values and compromising the patient experience. Thus, ensure that performance objectives and goals are attainable, processes are in place to support them, and team members are empowered to speak up when they’re not.

**“The onus is on leaders to ensure that their workplace meets or exceeds ethical expectations.”**

- **Praise and reward ethical behavior.** By placing a spotlight on your team members’ exemplary ethical choices, decisions, and behaviors, you’re communicating to everyone how important and valued their adherence to those principles is. By the same token, ethical lapses and violations must be addressed immediately and decisively to discourage future incidents. Remember, ethical lapses tend to snowball when they go unchecked, others are watching and taking cues based on how leaders respond, and the livelihood of the center, in a very real way, is at stake.

**Conclusion**

As organizations realize that both consumers and employees are placing heightened importance on ethical cultures, the onus is on leaders to ensure that their workplace meets or exceeds ethical expectations. It’s clear that strong ethical cultures help reduce misbehavior, and doing the right thing—even when it’s inconvenient to do so—signals to everyone that the organization is truly committed.

Hence, it’s up to urgent care leaders to promote ethics in their workplaces by talking about ethics often, making accountability simple, nudging where appropriate, and giving team members tools and safeguards that make the ethical choice the easy one. The rewards to patients, employees, and the health of the organization are certainly worth it.

**References**
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HIPAA-Compliant Disclosure in Workers’ Compensation

ALAN A. AYERS, MBA, MAcc

Urgent message: While a workers’ compensation carrier may want to see a patient’s entire medical record, claiming that such is compliant with HIPAA as the “minimum necessary information to get paid,” urgent care providers should reasonably limit the health information released to the “minimum necessary to accomplish the workers’ compensation purpose.”

Introduction

The HIPAA Privacy Rule dictates how a covered healthcare provider, such as an urgent care center, shares an employee’s protected health information with an employer. The Rule sets parameters on the use and release of health records, and establishes appropriate safeguards that healthcare providers and others must satisfy to protect the privacy of health information.1

While many assume that the law provides a blanket protection, HIPAA’s Privacy Rule does not protect employment records, even if the information in those records is health-related.2 In fact, the Department of Health and Human Services states that in most cases, the Privacy Rule doesn’t apply to the actions of an employer.2 The HHS states that if you work for a health plan or a covered healthcare provider, HIPAA’s Privacy Rule doesn’t apply to your employment records. However, the rule does protect your medical or health plan records if you’re a patient of the provider or a member of the health plan (as it would for any other employer).3

But what happens when the worlds of healthcare and employment collide, as in the area of workers’ compensation? The question as it applies in the urgent care setting is, how much of a patient’s medical history can (and should) be shared with an employer?

Business Scenario

In a hypothetical case, Dr. White is treating employee John Smith, who is complaining of wrist pain. Smith shares that he had surgery on his wrist 3 years ago after a non–work-related accident.

Dr. White acknowledges that our providers can and should be providing more details about how the injury occurred and further personal medical history related to Smith’s injury. In fact, the workers’ compensation carrier is seeking all of the patient’s history—including psychological, sexual, drugs, everything. Dr. White is of the mindset that information not pertaining to the incident at hand would not be shared. However, the workers’ compensation carrier states that our competitors share the patient’s full medical history.

The key point here is that the law provides that covered entities are required to reasonably limit the amount of protected health information disclosed to the minimum necessary to accomplish the workers’ compensation purpose. Dr. White appears to be correct in that medical information not germane to Smith’s injury (eg, psychological treatment, sexual history, and medications) should not be disclosed. Disclosure of that PHI would be in violation of the HIPAA Privacy Rule. Also, the competition is in violation of HIPAA’s Privacy Rule.

Here, we discuss this issue at length, with focus on the responsibilities of urgent care centers, which are frequently used by employers, workers’ compensation insurance carriers, and third-party administrators to treat the work-related injuries of employees.

Workers’ Compensation

As a general rule, an employer can request that an employee provide a doctor’s note or other health information if they re-
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“Urgent care operators should understand that all covered entities are required by law to reasonably limit the amount of protected health information disclosed.”

quire the information for sick leave, workers’ compensation, wellness programs, or health insurance. But if the employer requests information about the employee directly from the healthcare provider, the provider cannot give the employer the information without employee authorization unless other laws require them to do so.

However, in workers’ compensation, it’s important to note that the HIPAA Privacy Rule doesn’t apply to entities that are either workers’ compensation insurers, workers’ compensation administrative agencies, or employers, except to the extent they may otherwise be covered entities. These entities require access to the health information of individuals who are injured on the job or who have a work-related illness to process or adjudicate claims, or to coordinate care under workers’ compensation systems. This health information is typically obtained from healthcare providers who treat these individuals and who may be covered by the Privacy Rule, such as urgent care facilities.

With that in mind, the HIPAA Privacy Rule recognizes the legitimate need of insurers and other entities involved in the workers’ compensation systems to have access to individuals’ health information as authorized by law. The question remains, to what extent can personal health information (PHI) be disclosed?

Disclosures with and without individual authorization

The HIPAA Privacy Rule allows covered entities to disclose protected health information to workers’ compensation insurers, state administrators, employers, and other persons or entities involved in workers’ compensation systems, without the individual’s authorization in specific circumstances:

- As authorized by and to the extent necessary to comply with laws relating to workers’ compensation or similar programs established by law that provide benefits for work-related injuries or illness without regard to fault.
- To the extent the disclosure is required by law, and the disclosure must comply with and be limited to what the law requires.
- For purposes of obtaining payment for any healthcare provided to the injured or ill worker.

Covered entities may disclose PHI to workers’ compensation insurers and others involved in workers’ compensation systems where the individual has given his or her authorization for the release of the information to the entity.

What Can Be Safely Reported?

When reporting a patient’s medical history or status to a workers’ compensation insurance carrier, third-party administrator, or directly to an employer, the following information is generally considered “pertinent” to the injury:

1. Date of injury
2. Mechanism of injury
3. Quality, intensity, and location of pain
4. Treatments tried and success of same treatments
5. Your diagnosis and treatment plan
6. Date of follow-up visit (unless you are sending the patient back to full duty, workers’ compensation cases must be seen in follow-up)
7. Specialty referral if necessary – Panel or open referral
8. Document on return-to-work forms what restrictions are appropriate for the patient. Allowing the workplace to determine if they have a position that can accommodate the restrictions
9. Prior medical history pertaining specifically to the injury

Minimum necessary

Urgent care operators should understand that all covered entities are required by law to reasonably limit the amount of protected health information disclosed under 45 CFR § 164.512(l) to the minimum necessary to accomplish the workers’ compensation purpose. This means that an employee’s PHI may be shared for such purposes to the full extent authorized by law—but that covered entities must reasonably limit the amount of PHI disclosed for payment purposes to the minimum necessary. However, the Privacy Rule’s requirements for minimum necessary are designed to be “sufficiently flexible to accommodate the various circumstances of any covered entity.”

The Department of Health and Human Services states that covered entities are permitted to disclose the amount and types of protected health information that are necessary to obtain payment for healthcare provided to an injured or ill worker. Further, it’s important to note that where a covered entity such as an urgent care center routinely makes disclosures for workers’ compensation purposes under federal regulation or for payment purposes, it may develop standard protocols as part of its minimum necessary policies and procedures that address the type and amount of protected health information to be disclosed for such purposes.

In addition, the HHS explains that where PHI is requested by a state workers’ compensation or other public official, an urgent care center or other covered entity is permitted to reasonably rely on the official’s representations that the information requested is the minimum necessary for the intended...
“Disclosure of medical information not germane to a patient’s injury (eg, psychological treatment, sexual history, medications) would be in violation of the HIPAA Privacy Rule.”

Covered entities aren’t required to make a minimum necessary determination when disclosing protected health information as required by state or other law, or pursuant to the individual’s authorization. Covered entities are required to make a minimum necessary determination when disclosing protected health information for workers’ compensation purposes. The penalties for HIPAA noncompliance with the Privacy Rule can range from $100 to $50,000 per violation. The maximum penalty of an identical provision is $1.5 million per year of violations. In addition, a person who knowingly obtains or discloses individually identifiable health information in violation of the Privacy Rule may face a criminal penalty of up to $50,000 and up to 1-year imprisonment.

Takeaway
Urgent care center owners should be certain that safeguards, procedures, and training are in place to ensure that when complying with workers’ compensation claims that disclosure of PHI is reasonably limited to the minimum necessary to accomplish the workers’ compensation purpose.

References
4. 45 CFR § 164.512.
5. CFR § 164.502(a)(1)(ii).
ABSTRACTS IN URGENT CARE

- Too Soon to Talk About ICD-11?
- Boxers or Briefs (Clinically Speaking)?
- Fluoroquinolone Label Changes Ahead
- Speech Recognition Software May Not Be Ready for Prime Time
- Study Suggests Anticonvulsants Unsuitable for Back Pain
- AAP Weighs in on Nasal Flu Vaccine

Each month the College of Urgent Care Medicine (CUCM) provides a handful of abstracts from or related to urgent care practices or practitioners. Glenn Harnett, MD leads this effort.

WHO Announces ICD-11 Is on the Way

Key point: New set will reflect current terminologies and classifications, integrate more smoothly with new technologies.


ICD-10 was released in 2015, but according to the World Health Organization (WHO) we can already expect the next revision, ICD-11, sometime early in the next decade. ICD-11 is supposed to contain updates to key clinical elements and allow the coding process to integrate more smoothly with new electronic records technology. The hope is that it will allow for better interoperability and coding consistency across different platforms. ICD-11 will be presented for adoption at the World Health Assembly in May 2019 and will become effective in 2022. According to the WHO, the new ICD includes several new chapters, including one on traditional medicine and another one on sexual health that brings together conditions that were previously categorized in other ways (eg, gender incongruence was listed under mental health conditions) or described differently. Gaming disorder was added to the section on addictive disorders. The WHO has promised significant improvements over ICD-10, stating that for the first time, it will be completely electronic and also claiming that ICD-11 will be more user-friendly than ICD-10. It took 23 years for the U.S. to agree to ICD-10, but healthcare experts expect ICD-11 to be adopted much quicker this time around.

It’s Official: Boxers Over Briefs for Optimal Male Fertility

Key point: Boxers are better than briefs when it comes to sperm counts and mobility.


A recently published study in Human Reproduction confirmed earlier research regarding underwear types and their potential effects on male fertility. Researchers questioned almost 650 men attending an infertility clinic to determine what kind of underwear they customarily wore. Answer choices included boxers, jockeys, bikinis, briefs, or “other” (a category that included boxer-briefs or mixtures of underwear types). Semen and blood samples were collected from each participant. The men were then divided into two groups according to their underwear type: those primarily wearing looser-fitting boxers and those mainly wearing tighter-fit underwear. The results revealed that a preference for boxers was associated with higher sperm counts and concentrations, and higher numbers of motile sperm. It was also associated with lower serum levels of follicle-stimulating hormone. Sperm counts were, on average, 17% lower in those who wore briefs than in men who wear boxers. The authors postulated that tight underwear elevates scrotal...
temperatures, thus leading to lower sperm production. They also noted that even though the average sperm count was lower in men who wore tight-fitting underwear instead of boxers, this value was still well within healthy levels, suggesting the difference between underwear types shouldn’t be exaggerated. Skeptics noted that the type of pants typically worn by each patient, such as tight-fitting jeans, could outweigh any benefits derived from wearing loose boxers, and may have confounded results.

**FDA Calls for Fluoroquinolones Label Changes**

*Key point: Warnings regarding hypoglycemia, mental health will be stronger.*


The FDA called for even more label changes to fluoroquinolones to strengthen warnings that the antibiotics could cause severe hypoglycemia or mental health issues. The first label change requires that all fluoroquinolone labels must now explicitly warn of the potential risk for coma due to hypoglycemia. The second label change regards mental health side effects, which include agitation, attention disturbances, delirium, disorientation, memory impairment, and nervousness. These mental health side effects must now be listed separately from adverse reactions to the central nervous system. The FDA noted that mental health side effects have been reported after just one dose of a fluoroquinolone. These new warnings are in addition to numerous other prior fluoroquinolone warnings, including boxed warnings about risks for tendinitis and tendon rupture, as well as worsening muscle weakness in patients with myasthenia gravis. The changes are in response to an agency review of adverse event and case reports.

**Speech Recognition Software Needs More Work**

*Key point: Speech recognition software leads to higher error rates in patient documentation.*


Speech recognition software (for dictation) is being increasingly used to document clinical encounters in electronic health records. Proponents of the software note that the software decreases the time providers spend on documenting patient notes and procedures. However, a study published in *JAMA Network Open* suggests that it yields errors in up to 7% of dictated words. The author’s analysis included about 220 documents (office notes) that were dictated using one speech recognition system at two U.S. healthcare organizations. Researchers had human transcriptionists transcribe each original recording to use as the reference standard. They compared the error rate in the note solely created by the speech recognition software, then compared it to the error rate after the transcriptionist review and physician sign-off. The error rate was 7 per 100 words in the notes created by the speech recognition software, falling to below 1 per 100 after transcriptionist review and physician sign-off. They also noted that when it came to clinically significant errors, 64% of the speech-recognition notes had such errors, which fell to 8% after review and sign-off. The researchers concluded that their study “highlights the crucial role of manual editing and review” when using speech recognition software.

**Are Anticonvulsants a Suitable Alternative for Low Back Pain?**

*Key point: Studied anticonvulsant drugs are ineffective for treating low back pain, and may even be harmful.*


Prescriptions for anticonvulsant drugs have increased sharply in recent years, presumably as alternatives to opioid pain medication. Lyrica (pregabalin) and Neurontin (gabapentin) are primarily used for treating nerve pain and fibromyalgia, but are increasingly being prescribed off-label to treat lower back and neck pain. In a meta-analysis published in the *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, researchers examined nine studies of chronic low back pain or lumbar radicular pain that included 859 unique patients and compared topiramate, gabapentin, or pregabalin to placebo. Results revealed that these medications do not appear to improve low back pain. In fact, the gabapentinoids did not have any effect on low back pain or disability and topiramate appeared to have only a small effect on pain, and then only in the short term. Neither treatment had any effect on lumbar radicular pain. Researches also pointed out that gabapentinoids were associated with significantly increased risk for adverse events relative to placebo, including drowsiness, dizziness, and nausea. The authors concluded that the evidence does not support the use of anticonvulsants for treatment of either chronic low back pain or lumbar radicular pain. This was based on the high quality of evidence suggesting no treatment benefit for pain and disability, and high-level evidence supporting the risk of harms.
Stick with Needles for the Upcoming Flu Season

Key point: AAP recommends against nasal spray for upcoming flu season.


The American Academy of Pediatrics will advise families to choose the inactivated influenza vaccine (the flu shot) when they vaccinate their children this fall. The decision was made by the AAP Board of Directors after reviewing data on the effectiveness of this season’s flu shot compared with the nasal spray flu vaccine, which has not worked as well in recent flu seasons. Even though the CDC’s Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices gave a weak endorsement of the live attenuated nasal flu vaccine (Flumist) for the 2018–2019 flu season, AAP clearly recommends the injectable influenza vaccine over the nasal spray for youth this year. They will publish their formal policy statement on flu prevention in September. In the previous 2 years, neither the AAP nor the CDC recommended the live attenuated vaccine (nasal spray) because evidence showed it had poor efficacy against H1N1 and other strains. The AAP did not endorse this season’s influenza nasal spray vaccine (still citing poor efficacy), stating that the nasal spray should only be used in children who would otherwise go unvaccinated. In an AAP press release, a member of the AAP Committee on Infectious Diseases said, “If you get the nasal spray vaccine, just be aware that there might be a chance you will not be fully protected against H1N1 strains of flu.” In summary, the AAP does not recommend the nasal spray for routine influenza vaccination in children (they instead recommend the injectable version) and state that the spray should be a last resort for children who would not otherwise receive a flu vaccine.
Urgent message: A thorough, occupational medicine-specific history is essential to identifying and resolving work-related injuries and illnesses quickly and safely—for the benefit of the patient and the employer.

Like any medical history, the occupational medicine history is meant to guide the clinician to the proper diagnosis and treatment for the patient. However, it is set apart from the “typical” history in that several other goals must be achieved in the process. Not only are the duties and responsibilities of the occupational primary treating physician often mandated by law, gathering the proper historical information at the beginning of the case will lead to a timely resolution and rapid return to work for the injured worker, help avoid an adversarial relationship between the employer and the employee, and identify any red flags to assure that the subjective complaints and description of the injury match the objective findings of the physical exam. Finally, healthy, respectful skepticism is helpful in determining if the claimed injury or illness occurred out of and in the course of employment vs the result of off-duty activities.

(Note: The specific words “arising out of and in the course of” are often used to make the determination of on-duty vs off-duty injury)

Here, we discuss some of the key requirements and nuances of obtaining an occupational medicine history in the urgent care setting.

Understand Your Patient’s Job Duties
Injured employees often present with paperwork that identifies their job title. What does that actually mean, though? Job titles can be ambiguous, and often do not describe what the employee—your patient—actually does. Questions such as the amount of time spent standing vs sitting; lifting requirements of the job, including how much and how often; and description of any particularly awkward motions such as cleaning behind sinks help define the worker’s actual duties.

This information is obtained in three different ways.
First, ask specific questions during your history. For example, if someone is claiming a knee injury, it is important to know whether most of their time is spent sitting or standing, and if they are carrying heavy loads.

The second way is to talk to your client company. The examining physician should talk to the company contact to obtain job duty information, or better yet, obtain a copy of the defined job duties, which will generally describe the percentage of time spent sitting vs standing, the usual loads that the employee is expected to lift during the day, and other important information about the physical demands of the job.

Finally, I have found that scheduling a visit to the job site provides invaluable information about the work environment and insights into the daily activities of the workers. It’s also an opportunity to meet the supervisors, safety officers, and those I will communicate with in the future to facilitate better relations.

Of special importance is the determination of whether the
job is "safety sensitive." Does the employee drive, operate machinery, work around toxic materials? The answers to these questions not only help reveal the mechanisms of some injuries, but also help the occupational medicine provider create a modified duty program that can keep the injured employee at work and active during the recovery period.

All this information can illuminate how the injury or illness occurred, and help the occupational medicine provider formulate a treatment plan to optimize recovery and return to full duty at preinjury levels as quickly and safely as possible.

### Length of Time with Current Employer and at Current Position

The length of time the patient has been on the job can be a predictive indicator for how quickly recovery will progress. For example, an employee claiming an overuse injury in the first few weeks of employment represents the need for strengthening, rather than treatment for repetitive strain injury. An injury shortly after hiring may also be a red flag for possible workers’ compensation fraud.

The length of time a worker has been performing a task may also offer more subtle clues that the root of the complaint may not be physical. For example, long-term employees who have had a recent change in their job function, or a shift change, may be dissatisfied with the change and more likely to claim a work-related injury. Only close attention to the details of the mechanism of injury and the subjective complaints and objective findings can make these important differentiations.

### Full or part-time employment?

One factor that may be overlooked by the occupational medicine provider is that many people now may hold two or three part-time jobs simultaneously. This is a common reason for delay in recovery from musculoskeletal injuries. Patients who have jobs outside of the one related to their treatment may be unable to rest adequately between shifts, have frequent missed appointments or missed physical therapy treatments, or be nonadherent with self-treatment, such as stretching and home exercise.

### History of the Current Illness or Injury

Understanding precisely when the injury began is an important part of the occupational medicine history. Gradual vs sudden onset can help differentiate repetitive stress injury from acute musculoskeletal strain, and help predict the timeline and outcome of the case.

Delay in reporting may represent a red flag for deception or secondary gain associated with the injury. Most serious injuries are reported immediately, and treatment can begin quickly. If there is no obvious reason for a delay in the injured worker presenting to the clinic, probe for a reasonable explanation. One method of probing for issues such as workplace dissatisfaction is to ask: "What is it like to work for company ABC?" An open-ended question is less likely to elicit a defensive response, and more likely to give an unvarnished answer.

### Is this injury new, or a recurrence?

A good description of any previous injuries, especially in the previous 36 months, can help differentiate a new injury from a recurrence of an old injury (and, therefore, help direct treatment decisions). The differentiation can be difficult, but a reasonable decision can be made with patient questioning and a review of thorough records.

### Obtain a Detailed Mechanism of Injury

For an urgent care patient, it is adequate to document that the patient experienced “back pain after lifting,” or “hurt ankle climbing steps.” In a typical urgent care setting, there is little riding on where or when an injury occurred. By contrast, the workplace injury should be described in greater detail, to assure that the mechanism can reasonably explain the patient’s injury claim. For example, understanding the approximate weight of an object can help determine whether this injury occurred during routine job activities, was an unusual event, or occurred in an activity unrelated to the job. This information can also help determine work modifications at discharge.

### Document a Thorough List of Subjective Complaints

In urgent care or emergency medicine, the provider will perform a clinical triaging to distinguish serious injuries from

---

**“Gradual vs sudden onset can help differentiate repetitive stress injury from acute musculoskeletal strain.”**

---

**“An overuse injury in the first few weeks of employment represents the need for strengthening, rather than treatment for repetitive strain injury.”**
Akin to this, consider whether the patient is being treated for any other work injury currently; has any disabilities caused by a previous work injury; or has any current restrictions or accommodations in the workplace. It should be remembered that an injured worker could have multiple workers’ compensation cases running at the same time. Multiple previous or concurrent workplace claims may be a clue that the patient is trying to manipulate the work comp system.

**Conclusion**

While this may seem like a lot of information to gather, once the provider becomes familiar with the process and understands the rationale of the occupational medicine history, it takes only a few minutes to get the relevant facts. Because the occupational medicine physician has many administrative (as well as medical) decisions to make, having all the information together at the beginning of the case will facilitate correct diagnosis and proper treatment of work-related injuries, while also assuring that the injured worker and the employer are both treated fairly.

---
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Rhabdomyolysis in a Previously Healthy 33-Year-Old Man

Urgent message: Life-threatening degrees of rhabdomyolysis can be seen in young, healthy patients with stable presentation and nearly normal examination findings.

JOHN SHUFELDT, MD, JD, MBA, FACEP and ZANA ALATTAR, MS3

Introduction
This case demonstrates the importance of considering and ruling out rhabdomyolysis in patients with myalgia. We describe a case illustrating the management and work-up of myalgia in a young, healthy individual to identify the underlying cause. As with many illnesses, the linchpin to make the diagnosis is often found in the history.

Case Presentation
A 33-year-old healthy male presented to urgent care reporting diffuse muscle pain and soreness since the previous evening, with associated weakness, headache, and discoloration of his urine. He presented to the urgent care center concerned for dehydration. The patient exercises regularly and most recently lifted weights yesterday. He denies strenuous exercise or excessive exertion, outside of his usual regimen. Patient denies alcohol, drug, or supplement use.

Physical Examination
The initial physical examination revealed a patient in no acute distress, with vital signs as follows:
- Temperature PO: 36.2° C
- Heart rate: 79 bpm
- Blood pressure: 144/95 mmHg
- Respiratory rate: 20 breaths/min
- O2 sat: 100% on room air

The patient’s lungs were clear to auscultation bilaterally, respirations were nonlabored, and breath sounds were equal. The cardiovascular examination revealed normal peripheral perfusion and a regular heart rate and rhythm without murmur. Extremities showed no sign of edema, swelling, tenderness, or ecchymosis. The abdomen and back were nontender. The neurologic examination showed no focal neurologic deficit; cranial nerves II through XII were intact; and normal sensory, motor, speech, and coordination findings.

Diagnostic Results
An electrocardiogram showed no acute changes. A urine sample was collected and demonstrated dark, tea-colored urine. Urine dipstick showed heme-positive urine. An IV was started; the patient received a 1 L fluid
The patient was then transferred to the ED. Upon arrival to the ED, the patient immediately received a 2 L bolus of normal saline. The patient was then able to produce enough urine for a formal urinalysis and urine myoglobin levels, which revealed the positive dipstick was secondary to myoglobin in the urine.

Complete metabolic profile (CMP) was concerning for hypocalcemia, hypoalbuminemia, and elevated aminotransferases.

**Diagnosis**
The patient was diagnosed with acute rhabdomyolysis.

**Overview**
Rhabdomyolysis is a potentially life-threatening condition of skeletal muscle breakdown, which results in the release of intracellular muscle contents—such as myoglobin, creatine kinase, aldolase, lactate dehydrogenase, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, and electrolytes—into the bloodstream. This condition should be suspected in patients with the classic triad of muscle pain, weakness, and tea-colored urine, especially in the setting of myoglobinuria. Myoglobinuria is seen only in cases of rhabdomyolysis and presents as a positive result for blood on urine dipstick, in the absence of RBCs on urine sedimentation. While this presentation raises suspicion for the disease, the gold standard for definitive diagnosis of rhabdomyolysis is measurement of the serum CK. Diagnosis is confirmed with concentrations 5-10 times the upper limit of normal (<100 units/L), typically in the range of 500–1,000 units/L.

Of note, urine discoloration secondary to myoglobinuria is only seen in half of cases and therefore, is also not the primary indicator of the illness. In addition, routine lab tests can vary significantly depending on the underlying cause of the rhabdomyolysis and should not be relied on for diagnosis. On physical exam, muscle tenderness and swelling may be seen; however, the swelling usually develops, if it occurs, with volume repletion. Therefore, a normal physical exam also does not exclude the diagnosis of rhabdomyolysis. Thus, the duty falls on the physician to obtain a CK level on patients with high suspicion for rhabdomyolysis. Patients who present with the aforementioned triad of symptoms in the setting of one of the causes of rhabdomyolysis are prime candidates for evaluation of CK level. Common causes of acute rhabdomyolysis include mechanical injury from trauma or excessive muscle activity, alcohol or drug abuse, toxins, lying in one position (for example, when a patient gives a history of passing out after excessive use of alcohol for a prolonged period), medications, or infection. In patients with extreme physical exertion, risk increases with conditions causing dehydration, including severe heat or humidity and the abuse of diuretics, as seen in some athletes.

Once diagnosed, the severity of rhabdomyolysis varies and is strongly correlated with the extent of enzyme elevation. Regardless of severity, initial management and treatment of rhabdomyolysis can be managed in most urgent care settings. Early fluid resuscitation is key to treatment and prevention of further complications. However, it is the severity of the syndrome and presence or absence of complications upon patient presentation that dictates next-steps for the patient.

While in the urgent care center, if the patient’s urine is tea-colored and heme-positive, the author would err
on providing IV fluid boluses and referring the patient to the emergency department for further testing as described above. Additionally, if the patient cannot tolerate PO fluid intake or is unable to recognize the potential seriousness of their diagnosis, transfer to the ED and potential admission is warranted to ensure adequate hydration. Complete evaluation of patients with suspected severe rhabdomyolysis should include serum myoglobin levels, creatinine, electrolytes, uric acid, and liver function tests, as well as urinalysis and urine screen for myoglobinuria. If, however, the patient is only trace positive, is tolerating PO fluids, is amenable to increasing their PO fluid consumption, another course of action would be to discharge home with close follow-up and expectant observation.

**Additional indications for ED transfer**

**Rhabdomyolysis-induced acute kidney injury.** Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a common, potentially life-threatening complication of severe rhabdomyolysis. This is seen in 15%–33% of cases of rhabdomyolysis and is most common in cases due to alcohol, drugs, or trauma. Risk of AKI is higher in patients with CK level >5,000 units/L. Therefore, close monitoring of renal function, in addition to serial CK levels, is suggested in these patients. As such, patients with CK levels >5,000 units/L should be considered for transfer to the ED for more aggressive fluid resuscitation, renoprotective management, and admission. Physicians caring for such patients should be aware that CK level elevation peaks 1–3 days after initial muscle injury and will decline 3–5 days after cessation of the muscle injury.

**Rhabdomyolysis-induced electrolyte abnormalities or cardiac arrhythmias.** Fatal complications from severe rhabdomyolysis include cardiac arrest and respiratory failure. Therefore, patients with severe rhabdomyolysis should receive an immediate ECG to assess for cardiac arrhythmias due to electrolyte imbalances. Upon admission, the patient should be placed on continuous cardiac monitoring.

**Take-Home Points**

This case of rhabdomyolysis could have resulted in severe complications if left undiagnosed and untreated. It was prudent that the clinician at the UC use her clinical judgement and refer the patient to the ED at the appropriate time. The patient’s early volume resuscitation was critical in preventing permanent renal damage. It is important to consider rhabdomyolysis in patients with the triad of myalgia, weakness, and urine discoloration. In patients whose cause of rhabdomyolysis is mechanical injury, patients may not realize that they exerted themselves to such a significant level. A thorough history should be taken to exclude co-risk factors such as dehydration, medication use, and recent illness. Moreover, a serum CK level must be attained to assess severity of rhabdomyolysis in order to identify appropriate treatment for individual patients.

**Summary**

- The “classic triad” of rhabdomyolysis includes muscle pain, weakness, and tea-colored urine.
- Initial management and treatment of rhabdomyolysis can be accomplished in most urgent care settings.
- Common causes of acute rhabdomyolysis include mechanical injury from trauma or excessive muscle activity, alcohol or drug abuse, toxins, laying in one position (e.g., a patient who admits passing out after excessive use of alcohol for a prolonged period), medications, or infection.
- In patients with extreme physical exertion, risk increases with conditions causing dehydration, such as severe heat or humidity and abuse of diuretics.
- Complete evaluation of patients with suspected severe rhabdomyolysis should include:
  - Serum myoglobin levels
  - Creatinine
  - Electrolytes
  - Uric acid
  - Liver function tests
  - Urinalysis and urine screen for myoglobinuria
- Rhabdomyolysis is a potentially life-threatening condition of skeletal muscle breakdown, resulting in the release of intracellular muscle contents (e.g., myoglobin, creatine kinase, aldolase, lactate dehydrogenase, alanine aminotransferase, aspartate aminotransferase, and electrolytes) into the bloodstream.

**References**
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Fits your workflow.
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The KDR™ Advanced U-Arm.
The KDR™ AU System delivers state of the art digital X-ray technology in a compact, highly versatile design. With innovative features that optimize workflow, increase staff efficiency and help drive improved outcomes, the system is made to support better decisions sooner in any clinical setting.

**Optimized Workflow**
Swiveling into place across an extended range of motion, the system literally revolves around the patient. And advanced automation allows you to complete each scan faster. The result: Simplified workflow, increased efficiency, and more satisfied patients.

**Superior Image Quality**
High resolution images with excellent bone and soft tissue visualization from a single exposure provide the clarity you need to reach confident diagnoses sooner.

**Compact and Versatile**
Designed with small spaces in mind, the quality of imaging and workflow efficiencies of the system make it an excellent choice in facilities of any size.

**Intuitive Controls**
With all vital information front and center in a highly intuitive interface, operators work more efficiently and make more confident decisions.
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In each issue, *JUCM* will challenge your diagnostic acumen with a glimpse of x-rays, electrocardiograms, and photographs of conditions that real urgent care patients have presented with.

If you would like to submit a case for consideration, please email the relevant materials and presenting information to editor@jucm.com.

**A Runner with a History of Foot Pain**

**Case**

The patient is a 27-year-old male who presents to urgent care with foot pain, for which he says there is no explanation. With probing, he reveals that he is a “fanatic” about running, and that the pain has persisted for close to 7 months.

View the images taken and consider what the diagnosis and next steps would be. Resolution of the case is described on the next page.
**Differential Diagnosis**
- Capsulitis
- Freiberg disease
- Metatarsal stress fracture
- Metatarsalgia
- Tendinitis

**Diagnosis**
The patient was diagnosed with Freiberg disease/avascular necrosis/osteochondritis of the second metatarsal head.

**Learnings**
- This diagnosis occurs most often in patients from adolescence into the second decade of life
- The triggering event is trauma or repetitive trauma leading to metaphyseal microfractures, compromised vascular supply, and avascular necrosis
- Typically, head regions of the second or third metatarsal bones are involved

**Pearls for Urgent Care Management and Consideration for Transfer**
- The radiographic findings are those of avascular necrosis. Findings include flattened, collapsed head region of the metatarsal bone, fragmentation of the metatarsal head, loose bodies in the MP joint, zone of demarcation, sclerosis, and deformed sclerotic head upon healing
- Diagnosis is based on plain radiograph or MRI showing an avascular necrosis
- Treatment consists of rest, modification of physical activities, immobilization, and surgery if necessary

**Acknowledgment:** Images courtesy of Teleradiology Specialists.
Case
The patient is a 61-year-old man who presents to the urgent care center with lightheaded dizziness, which he says started several hours prior to arrival. He denies chest pain, syncope, and shortness of breath but says he feels “clammy.” He is not taking any medications on a regular basis and has no history of myocardial infarction, but he had a stent placed 8 years ago.

Upon exam, you find:

General: Alert and oriented X 3, mildly tachypneic, skin color good but slightly moist

Lungs: CTAB
Cardiovascular: Tachycardic and regular without murmur, rub, or gallop
Abdomen: Soft and NT, no pulsatile mass
Ext: No peripheral edema, pulses are 2+ and equal in all extremities

View the ECG taken and consider what the diagnosis and next steps would be. Resolution of the case is described on the next page.

Figure 1.
Differential Diagnosis
- Anterior STEMI
- Grouped premature ventricular contractions
- Ventricular tachycardia
- Atrial flutter
- Atrial fibrillation with preexcitation

Diagnosis
This patient was diagnosed with ventricular tachycardia (VT).

The ECG reveals a regular rhythm which is tachycardic, with a rate just above 150 bpm. There are no defined ST elevations across the anterior precordium, V3 and V4, so anterior STEMI is unlikely.

Grouped premature ventricular contractions (PVC) would be seen as a ventricular complex which occurs intermittently with sinus rhythm. Focusing on lead II (on the bottom of the ECG), we see that all the complexes here are the same, making a PVC or grouped PVCs unlikely.

Atrial flutter should show a sawtooth pattern, best seen in leads V1 and V2, and though the rate is close to 150 (commonly seen with atrial flutter), there are no “flutter” waves.

Atrial fibrillation is an irregularly irregular rhythm, but our patient’s rhythm is regular, so Afib is not occurring.

The ECG shows a wide complex, regular tachycardia without preceding P waves; this is VT.

Learnings/What to Look for
- VT is a wide complex tachycardia originating in the ventricles
- Monomorphic VT has complexes which originate from the same ventricular focus and are identical in appearance
- VT patients may be stable, as was our patient, or profoundly hemodynamically unstable

Pearls for Urgent Care Management and Considerations for Transfer
- Inquire about signs of ischemia such as chest discomfort, shortness of breath, diaphoresis, or dizziness
- Assess for hemodynamic instability such as hypotension, dizziness, or confusion
- VT is a true cardiac emergency and EMS should be emergently activated. While waiting, place the patient on a monitor and attempt to establish IV access
- Unstable patients require emergent cardioversion
A 28-Year-Old Man Complaining of Tiredness, Myalgia, and Sore Throat

Case
The patient is a 28-year-old man who presents to urgent care with a fever and complaints of tiredness, myalgia, and sore throat. You note that he has pink, scaly papules on his palms and soles. He also has patchy alopecia developing on his scalp, which he says is a very recent development.

View the photo and consider what your diagnosis and next steps would be. Resolution of the case is described on the next page.
Differential Diagnosis
- Alopecia areata
- Pityriasis rosea
- Reactive arthritis
- Secondary syphilis

Diagnosis
This patient was diagnosed with secondary syphilis, the second stage of the infection caused by spirochete, which has spread throughout the entire body. Typically, it occurs 1–3 months after the appearance of the primary syphilitic chancre.

Learnings
- Secondary syphilis is characterized by hematogenous and lymphatic dissemination
- Patchy alopecia is distinctive of secondary syphilis
- Other symptoms could include weight loss and lymphadenopathy
- Malignant syphilis, or lues maligna, is a rare, noduloulcerative manifestation of secondary syphilis. Most contemporary cases have been reported in the setting of underlying human immunodeficiency virus infection

Pearls for Urgent Care Management and Considerations for Transfer
- The lesions of secondary syphilis heal in 2–10 weeks, with or without treatment. If left untreated, up to 25% of patients will relapse within the first 2 years
- Ocular screening (eg, slit lamp examination) is advised for patients with suspected or proven syphilis

Acknowledgment: Images courtesy of VisualDx.
October 1, 2018 will bring 279 new codes to ICD-10-CM. Combined with 51 deactivated codes (and 143 revised codes), that brings the total number of ICD-10-CM codes to 71,932. We identified a few examples that are especially important for urgent care coders to be aware of; a complete list of ICD-10-CM changes can be found on the CMS website at https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coding/ICD10/2019-ICD-10-CM.html.

Chapter 2: Neoplasms (C00-D49)
Melanoma and other malignant neoplasms of the skin
The codes addressing carcinoma, melanoma, and neoplasm of the eyelid have been split into separate codes to indicate upper and lower eyelid. For example:

- C43.11, “Malignant melanoma of right eyelid, including canthus” has been deactivated
- C431.111, “Malignant melanoma of right upper eyelid, including canthus” has been added
- C431.112, “Malignant melanoma of right lower eyelid, including canthus” has been added

Chapter 4: Endocrine, Nutritional and Metabolic Diseases (E00-E89)
Metabolic disorders
In addition to a couple of deactivations, new characters have been added to two codes (E72 and E78), allowing greater specificity:

- E72.8, “Other specified disorders of amino-acid metabolism” has been deactivated
- E72.81, “Disorders of gamma aminobutyric acid metabolism” has been added
- E72.89, “Other specified disorders of amino-acid metabolism” has been added
- E78.4, “Other hyperlipidemia” has been deactivated
- E78.41, “Elevated lipoprotein(a)” has been added
- E78.489, “Other hyperlipidemia” has been added

Chapter 5: Mental, Behavioral and Neurodevelopmental Disorders (F01-F99)
Mental and behavioral disorders due to psychoactive substance use
Additional codes were added to report cannabis use with withdrawal:

- F12.23, “Cannabis dependence with withdrawal”
- F12.93, “Cannabis use, unspecified with withdrawal”

Chapter 6: Diseases of the Nervous System (G00-G99)
Diseases of myoneural junction and muscle
The more generic code G71.0, “Muscular dystrophy” was deactivated to make room for more specific codes:

- G71.00, “Muscular dystrophy, unspecified”
- G71.01, “Duchenne or Becker muscular dystrophy”
- G71.02, “Facioscapulohumeral muscular dystrophy”
- G71.09, “Other specified muscular dystrophies”

Chapter 7: Diseases of the Eye and Adnexa (H00-H59)
Options to code blepharitis of upper and lower eyelids have been created so that one code will cover the condition of both the upper and lower eyelid. For example, code H01.0, “Unspecified blepharitis right eye, upper and lower eyelids” can be assigned now, whereas before, the coder would assign a code for blepharitis of the right upper eyelid and another code for blepharitis of the right lower eyelid. Code subcategory H02.151 through H02.159 was added in order to diagnose par-
alytic ectropion of the eyelid. Subcategory H10.8, “Other conjunctivitis” was added in order to code rosacea conjunctivitis. The new codes are:

- H10.821, “Rosacea conjunctivitis, right eye”
- H10.822, “Rosacea conjunctivitis, left eye”
- H10.823, “Rosacea conjunctivitis, bilateral”
- H10.829, “Rosacea conjunctivitis, unspecified eye”

Chapter 11: Diseases of the Digestive System (K00-K95)

Diseases of appendix

Some changes were made to codes for acute appendicitis with peritonitis. Codes K35.2, “Acute appendicitis with generalized peritonitis” and K35.89, “Other acute appendicitis” have been deactivated. Several new codes can be found in category K35. Some examples are:

- K35.20, “Acute appendicitis with generalized peritonitis, without abscess”
- K35.30, “Acute appendicitis with localized peritonitis, without perforation or gangrene”
- K35.890, “Other acute appendicitis without perforation or gangrene”
- K35.891, “Other acute appendicitis without perforation, with gangrene”

Chapter 13: Diseases of the Musculoskeletal System and Connective Tissue (M00-M99)

Other and unspecified soft tissue disorders not elsewhere classified

The code for myalgia, M79.1, has been deactivated and four new codes have been added:

- M79.10, “Myalgia, unspecified site”
- M79.11, “Myalgia of mastication muscle”
- M79.12, “Myalgia of auxiliary muscles, head and neck”
- M79.18, “Myalgia, other site”

Chapter 14: Diseases of the Genitourinary System (N00-N99)

Other diseases of the urinary system

Code N35.8, “Other urethral structure” was deactivated and the subcategory expanded in order to specify codes for male and female. You will now see codes such as these in this section:

- N35.819, “Other urethral stricture, male, unspecified site”
- N35.82, “Other urethral stricture, female”

Chapter 18: Symptoms, Signs, and Abnormal Clinical and Laboratory Findings, Not Elsewhere Classified (R00-R99)

Abnormal findings on examination of urine, without diagnosis

Code R82.99, “Other abnormal findings in urine” was deactivated and the code expanded to six digits, where the following codes were added:

- R82.991, “Hypocitraturia”
- R82.992, “Hyperoxaluria”
- R82.993, “Hyperuricoscuria”
- R82.994, “Hypercalciuria”
- R82.998, “Other abnormal findings in urine”

Chapter 19: Injury, Poisoning, and Certain Other Consequences of External Causes (S00-T88)

(As an unfortunate result of hospitals seeing an increase in human trafficking cases, the majority of new codes added are codes describing exploitation of children and adults.)

Other and unspecified effects of external causes

To help differentiate human trafficking victims from other abuse victims, the codes in categories T74 and T76 were expanded, making room for 24 new codes, such as:

- T74.51XA, “Adult forced sexual exploitation, confirmed, initial encounter”
- T76.662XA “Child forced labor exploitation, suspected, initial encounter”

In addition, code subcategory T43.64 has been expanded to allow 12 new codes to identify when the drug ecstasy was used, and the circumstance, such as T43.641A, “Poisoning by ecstasy, accidental (unintentional), initial encounter.”

Subcategory T81.4 was expanded to incorporate 18 new codes that help identify postprocedural infection and sepsis. For example, T81.41XA, “Infection following a procedure, superficial incisional surgical site, initial encounter” is among one of those new code choices.

The seventh character options, A (initial), B (subsequent), and D (sequela) apply to all the codes in this section.

Chapter 21: Factors Influencing Health Status and Contact with Health Services (Z00-Z99)

Persons encountering health services for examination

Examination code Z04.8, “Encounter for examination and observation for other reasons” was deactivated to make room for exploitation codes:

- Z04.81, “Encounter for exam and observation of victim following forced sex exploitation”
- Z04.82, “Encounter for exam and observation of victim following forced labor exploitation”
- Z04.89, “Encounter for examination and observation for other reasons”

Subcategory Z13.3 was created so that more specific screening codes could be assigned. A few of those are:

- Z13.31, “Encounter for screening for depression”
- Z13.32, “Encounter for screening for maternal depression”
- Z13.41, “Encounter for autism screening”

ICD-10 codes are updated annually, and are effective from October 1 through September 30. ■
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Warning: The Future of Patient Engagement May Require Straying Beyond Your Comfort Zone

Urgent care has faced many challenges since its inception—starting with trying to get healthcare consumers and insurers to understand what it has to offer that's different from a traditional primary care practice or the emergency room. Through true market evolution—we're talking Darwin, here—that hurdle has helped separate the wannabes from the real innovators. The latter came to grasp that a patient-friendly approach would be one obvious attribute that could keep waiting rooms full and good word-of-mouth buzzing. Many who failed to grasp that also failed to stay in business.

So, the results of a new study by the marketing consulting firm Prophet can be viewed as confirmation that urgent care has flourished at least partly because its founders were on the right track all along. The data plainly spell out that all healthcare environments would be well advised to adopt a more patient-friendly (ie, retail-like) approach to the patient experience. Organizational leaders across various practice settings seem to be getting the message—though the data below also seem to suggest that even those who are thinking outside the box might be too nervous to step outside it.

**WHAT WE THINK VS WHAT WE WOULD DO**

- **27%*** say the most important innovations come from digital companies
- **52%*** measure specific experience metrics (eg, appointment satisfaction)
- **11%*** would consider bringing in leaders from consumer-centric industries
- **11%*** would be comfortable partnering with a digital company
- **56%*** expect leaders to have a medical or clinical background
- **23%*** measure relationship metrics

* Among healthcare providers surveyed.
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