

Why Disclaimers Don't Belong in Patient Charts and What They Say About Your Culture of Care

Urgent Message: Replace vague, defensive disclaimers in patient charts with clear, specific documentation and evidence-based communication to strengthen trust and demonstrate a culture of quality care.

Alan A. Ayers, MBA, MAcc

Citation: Ayers AA. Why Disclaimers Don't Belong in Patient Charts and What They Say About Your Culture of Care. *J Urgent Care Med.* 2026;20(6):35-37

Keywords: EHR templates; smart phrases; culture; disclaimers; patient charts; malpractice

Disclaimers are creeping into urgent care documentation. Many providers have grown accustomed to including boilerplate language such as “comfort measures were reviewed,” “patient verbalized understanding,” or “dictated but not read.” These lines are often added automatically through templates or copied forward from prior notes—intended as a shield against liability or a shortcut for documentation.

But disclaimers also subtly communicate the culture of an urgent care center—to patients, staff, and even regulators. Overreliance on preset verbiage can signal defensiveness, lack of personalization, or disengagement from the patient experience. The best urgent care organizations are now re-examining their documentation habits and removing language that undermines professional credibility, patient trust, and operational quality.

Common Language That Undermines Care

Some commonly used disclaimers can actually undermine care.

- **“Comfort measures were reviewed.”** This line means little unless it's specific. If the provider ac-



tually discussed rest, hydration, or over-the-counter medications, those details should be documented. Otherwise, the phrase is empty. To a patient reading this note through a portal, “comfort measures were reviewed” may sound dismissive—as if the provider brushed off their concerns.

- **“Patient verbalized understanding and agreed with the plan.”** This phrase rarely reflects a real conversation but, rather, has become a checkbox for patient comprehension. A stronger note doc-

Author affiliations: Alan A. Ayers, MBA, MAcc, is President of Urgent Care Consultants and is Senior Editor of *The Journal of Urgent Care Medicine*.

“Replace vague terms with prompts that encourage specificity.”

uments how the patient engaged, for example: “Patient repeated back instructions for wound care and signs of infection.” This demonstrates teach-back, an evidence-based communication method proven to improve adherence and reduce readmissions.¹

- **“Discharged home in stable condition.”** Often, “stable condition” is a default entry—even for patients who remain symptomatic. The term has no standardized meaning in outpatient settings. Its habitual use can create confusion or appear inaccurate if the patient returns to care soon after. Instead, describe what was observed, such as: “Vital signs within normal limits; patient ambulating without distress.”
- **“Follow up with primary care in 1–3 days.”** This blanket instruction is rarely patient-centered. For many patients, the urgent care is their main source of care. Defaulting to “see your primary care provider” may leave them uncertain about next steps. Clear, individualized instructions are safer and better aligned with continuity of care, for example, “Return here in 48 hours for wound check or earlier if redness spreads.”
- **“Dictated but not read.”** The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) and malpractice experts universally consider this phrase unacceptable. It effectively admits the provider did not verify the accuracy of the record, which can invite claim denials and legal scrutiny.^{2,3} If a provider uses voice recognition or dictation, the responsibility to review and correct errors remains theirs. Adding a disclaimer does not transfer that duty.

Hidden Costs Of ‘Covering Yourself’

Clinicians often believe the phrases above will protect them from liability. In reality, they can have the opposite effect.

- **They Erode Patient Trust.** Patients increasingly are reading visit notes through patient portals. When they see repetitive, impersonal language, it signals a transactional encounter rather than per-

sonalized care. A note filled with disclaimers—especially “dictated but not read”—may cause patients to question the provider’s attention to detail. Communication experts warn that defensive documentation can unintentionally suggest indifference or lack of confidence in one’s own work.⁴

- **They Don’t Hold Up in Court.** Malpractice attorneys and insurers repeatedly stress that specific documentation is the best legal protection.⁵ Generic statements like “follow up with primary care” or “patient verbalized understanding” do not demonstrate that appropriate instructions were given. What matters in litigation is the record of what was said, what was done, and how the patient responded. Templated or copied phrases are often dismissed as unreliable evidence of individualized care.
- **They Signal a Documentation Culture Problem.** When disclaimers appear on every chart—regardless of case complexity—it indicates a culture of checking boxes rather than engaging patients. This can erode staff morale as well as quality. Providers may start viewing documentation as a bureaucratic task instead of a clinical communication tool. Over time, that mindset filters into patient interactions, where scripted explanations replace meaningful dialogue.

What To Do Instead

There are a few actions urgent care organizations can take to avoid reliance on disclaimer language in patient charts.

1. **Audit Your Templates.** Start by reviewing your EHR templates and “smart phrases.” Remove or rewrite boilerplate language that doesn’t contribute to patient understanding or accurate recordkeeping. Replace vague terms with prompts that encourage specificity.
2. **Document Teach-Back, Not Disclaimers.** The teach-back method—asking patients to repeat instructions in their own words—is the gold standard for confirming understanding.¹ Documenting that interaction provides stronger evidence of communication than any generic line ever could.
3. **Replace “Cover Yourself” Language with Clinical Detail.** Instead of disclaiming responsibility, demonstrate it. Replace “dictated with potential errors” with a note confirming review, for example: “Note dictated via voice recognition and verified for accuracy.”
4. **Educate Your Providers.** Provider orientation and

chart audits should include documentation coaching not just for compliance but also for communication quality. Explain why certain phrases are discouraged and how they can be misinterpreted by patients, attorneys, or payers. Encourage reflective charting. In other words, if a provider didn't say it, they shouldn't chart it.

5. **Lead by Example.** When leaders and medical directors model high-quality documentation—specific, concise, and patient-focused—providers follow suit. Leaders set expectations not only for what appears in the chart, but for how patients experience their care.

Culture, Communication, And Credibility

Disclaimers are often used because providers want protection. But in urgent care, protection comes from professionalism—from a culture that values precision, empathy, and accountability. When organizations rely on defensive language, it often reflects fear of scrutiny rather than pride in care.

Replacing disclaimers with genuine, descriptive communication isn't only a documentation exercise. It's

also a cultural shift. It tells staff that patient notes are not legal shields but extensions of the care itself. It shows patients that what happens in the room matters and that their provider was listening.

In the end, the best defense against liability and dissatisfaction isn't a line in the chart. It's a consistent, transparent culture of care—one where providers communicate clearly, document truthfully, and stand behind the quality of their work. ■

References

1. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Health Literacy Universal Precautions Toolkit. 3rd ed. Rockville, MD: AHRQ; 2023. Published online at: <https://psnet.ahrq.gov/issue/ahrq-health-literacy-universal-precautions-toolkit-2nd-edition>. Accessed October 10, 2025.
2. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS). Medicare Program Integrity Manual: Medical Record Documentation Requirements. Washington, DC: US Department of Health and Human Services; 2024. Published online at: <https://www.cms.gov/files/document/r12633pi.pdf>. Accessed October 10, 2025.
3. Woods JA. Avoid Using Disclaimers for Dictation, Voice Recognition Software, Electronic Health Records. SVMIC Sentinel. August 2022. Published online at: <https://www.svmic.com/articles/336/avoid-using-disclaimers-for-dictation-voice-recognition-software-electronic-health-records>. Accessed October 10, 2025.
4. Reader Questions: Beware of Damaging Disclaimers. Otolaryngology Coding Alert. Published September 13, 2024. AAPC. Published online at: <https://www.aapc.com/codes/coding-newsletters/my-otolaryngology-coding-alert/reader-questions-beware-of-damaging-disclaimers-178684-article>. Accessed October 10, 2025.
5. Ghaith T, Hamed M, Brody A. Charting Practices To Protect Against Malpractice. *West J Emerg Med.* 2022;23(5):765-773.



Don't pay out of pocket, use your CME Money!*



Solve Challenging Cases Quickly

How VisualDx Can Help:

- ✓ Save time
- ✓ Improve operational efficiency
- ✓ Reduce unnecessary referrals

JUCM Readers Get

\$50 OFF

visualdx.com/jucm

*We accept CME reimbursement, as long as your employer allows it. Check with them to confirm. Learn more: visualdx.com/earn-cme